

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/743,224	12/22/2003	Tomohisa Sakurai	17324	7638	
23389	7590 05/01/2006		EXAMINER		
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA SUITE 300 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			JOHNSON III	JOHNSON III, HENRY M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3739		
			DATE MAILED: 05/01/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/743,224	SAKURAI, TOMOHISA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
,						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Henry M. Johnson, III	3739				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 M	<u>arch 2006</u> .					
7						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>3-5,8-14,17-26 and 28-32</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,4,5, 8-14,17-26 and 28-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	raminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ea.				
Attachment(s)	.v.□ t-t	(DTO 442)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3739

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 31, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The limitation of non-mechanical contact for the energy transfer negates the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102; however, this limitation is taught by Tompkins et al. as used in previous rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103.

Further, Denen et al. provide a teaching of an interaction between the actuator (switch) and the stored data in that operation is prohibited if the devices are not compatible.

The indicated allowability of claims 5 and 14 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) toGuimond et al. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

## Specification

The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to an unpublished U.S. application, foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper.

Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference, if the material is relied upon to overcome any objection, rejection, or other requirement imposed by the Office. The amendment must be accompanied by a statement executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the material being inserted is the material previously incorporated by reference and that the amendment contains no new matter.

37 CFR 1.57(f).

#### Claim Objections

Claim 27 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 20, the word "disable" should be disables.

Art Unit: 3739

Claim 28 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 5, the word storage should be inserted between information and unit to positively establish antecedent basis.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 12-14, 17-20 and 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 12 recites the limitation "the energy transmission cable" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 4, 21-26, 28, 29 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,400,267 to Denen et al. in view of U.S. Patent 4,038,625 to Tompkins et al. Denen et al. teach the use of non-volatile memory to store utilization limits and parametric data for medical instruments (abstract). A control module (drive) is disclosed as connected to an electrically powered surgical scalpel; however, use with a vast array of

Art Unit: 3739

electrically powered medial devices (probes) is disclosed (Col. 7, lines 35-41). The memory may be disposed in a connector (Fig. 2) thus implying a complementary mating connector. An actuator (switch) is disclosed (Fig. 3, # 37). The electrical contacts within the connectors are interpreted as the energy release and energy receiving units. Denen et al. teach the operational parameters are read from the memory, the request for the data and subsequent reading of the data comprising information exchange. Lack of a proper connection is inherent in that no data would be received. The device is disclosed to prevent electrically powered medical equipment from being used with incompatible power supplies, thus inherently requiring prohibition of operation until data transfer can confirm such compatibility (Col 3, lines 49-56). The control module may comprise a microprocessor to control the operating characteristics of a power supply module so that once equipment is connected to power supply and control apparatus, the control module may request a transfer of preprogrammed parametric data stored in the nonvolatile memory. This data is then used by control module to regulate the power supplied by power supply module in accordance with the transferred parametric data. The parametric data may include, for example, voltage limits, current limits, instrument impedance, voltage setpoints, current setpoints, voltage ranges, current ranges and scale factors (Col. 9, lines 18-30). The step of reading the parametric data is interpreted as inherently including ceasing such reading when all the parameters are obtained. Denen et al. teach the updating (writing of data) into the memory of usage information for the specific medical device (Col. 2, lines 57-61). Denen et al. do not disclose a latching connector or energy transfer using non-mechanical means. Tompkins et al. disclose an inductively coupled energy transfer connector using complementary transformer windings in portions of the connector (abstract). A treaded portion effectively "latches" the connector sections together. Specific applications include medical electronics (Col. 1, line 23). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time

Application/Control Number: 10/743,224

Art Unit: 3739

the invention was made to use the latching connector and inductive coupling as taught by

Tompkins et al. in the surgical device of Denen et al. to reduce the problems associated with

dirty contacts and insure the integrity of the connection for the duration of a procedure.

Regarding claims 25 and 26, no further method step is provided.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,400,267 to Denen et al. in view of U.S. Patent 4,038,625 to Tompkins et al. as applied to claim 27 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent 5,401,175 to Guimond et al. Denen et al. and Tompkins et al. are discussed above, but do not teach magnets in connectors. Guimond et al. disclose the use of magnets in each of two sections of a connector to hold the sections together (abstract). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use the magnets as taught by Guimond et al. in the invention of Denen et al. in view of Tompkins et al. as an alternative to a mechanical latching means to maintain the connection.

Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,400,267 to Denen et al. in view of U.S. Patent 4,038,625 to Tompkins et al. as applied to claim 27 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent 6,068,627 to Orszulak et al. Denen et al. and Tompkins et al. are discussed above, but do not disclose specific surgical devices. Orszulak et al. disclose an energy delivery device for use with surgical instruments including those using laser, microwave and ultrasonic energy that includes means in a connector for identifying the specific surgical instrument attached. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use any of the medical instruments as taught by Orszulak et al. in the invention of Denen et al. in view of U.S. Patent 4,038,625 to Tompkins et al., as Denen et al. teach the use of such instruments without mentioning specific devices.

Claims 12-13, 17-20 and 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,400,267 to Denen et al. in view of U.S. Patent 4,038,625 to

Application/Control Number: 10/743,224

Art Unit: 3739

Tompkins et al. as applied to claim 27 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent Application
Publication US 2002/0111621 to Wallace et al. and U.S. Patent 6,068,627 to Orszulak et al.

Denen et al., Tompkins et al. and Orszulak et al. are discussed above, but do not disclose the use of a remote surgical arm. Remote surgical arms are well known in the art for endoscopic procedures as well as tele-surgical procedures. Wallace et al. teach a robotically controlled articulated arm for a surgical tool. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the robotic arm as taught by Wallace et al. in the invention of Denen et al. in view of U.S. Patent 4,038,625 to Tompkins et al., as the use of remote arms is pervasive in the art and therefore obvious to incorporate. It is further obvious to use the specific medical instruments taught by Orszulak et al. for the varied instruments disclosed by Denen et al.

Claims 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,400,267 to Denen et al. in view of U.S. Patent 4,038,625 to Tompkins et al. in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2002/0111621 to Wallace et al. and U.S. Patent 6,068,627 to Orszulak et al. as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent 5,401,175 to Guimond et al. All have been previously discussed. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use the magnets as taught by Guimond et al. in the invention of Denen et al./Tompkins et al./Wallace et al./Orszulak et al. as an alternative to a mechanical latching means to maintain the connection.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry M. Johnson, III whose telephone number is (571) 272-4768. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:00 AM to 3:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,224 Page 7

Art Unit: 3739

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Henry M. Johnson, III Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3739